Message Text

SECRET POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 STATE 017634

13

ORIGIN ACDA-02

INFO OCT-01 EUR-01 NEA-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66613

DRAFTED BY: ACDA/IR:PSEMLER APPROVED BY: ACDA/IR:PSEMLER

----- 042956

R 012150Z FEB 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY OSLO AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY ATHENS AMEMBASSY ROME

SECRETSTATE 017634

FOLLOWING REPEAT STATE 017634 ACTION NATO INFO VIENNA ANKARA LONDON BONN USCINCEUR JANUARY 26TH

QUOTE

SECRETSTATE 017634

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS:PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: TURKISH PAPER ON FLANK MEASURES

REFS: A. NATO 216, B. NATO 242, C. VIENNA 640, D. NATO 3911

VIENNA FOR USDEL MBFR

1. MISSION SHOULD MAKE FOLLOWING RESPONSE TO TURKISH PAPER SUBMITTED REF A WHICH REFLECTS RECOMMENDATIONS OF USDEL MBFR AND MISSION (REFS C AND D). AT YOUR DISCRETION, YOU MAY WISH TO APPROACH UK AND FRG DELEGATIONS ABOUT OUR RESPONSE, ELICITING THEIR SUPPORT FOR OUR POSITION. WE LEAVE TO YOUR JUDGMENT WHETHER TO RAISE THIS MATTER IN NAC RATHER THAN SPC.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 017634

2. OUR RESPONSE SHOULD STATE THAT WE HAVE CAREFULLY RE-VIEWED TURKISH PAPER. WE ARE FULLY AWARE OF AND SYM- PATHIZE WITH TURKISH CONCERN ABOUT POSSIBILITY THAT MBFR REDUCTIONS COULD INCREASE THE THREAT TO NATO FLANKS.

- 3. HOWEVER, WE DO NOT SHARE TURKISH ASSESSMENT (PARA 7, REF A) THAT REDUCTIONS ARE LIKELY TO LEAD TO AN INCREASED THREAT TO THE FLANKS. IN OUR VIEW, SOVIETS ARE LESS LIKELY TO DEPLOY FORCES RETURNED FROM THE NGA AGAINST THE FLANKS THAN TO OTHER AREAS SUCH AS THE WESTERN MILITARY DISTRICTS.
- 4. WE ALSO SEE REAL PROBLEMS IN PROPOSING TO THE SOVIETS THAT THEY DISBAND WITHDRAWN FORCES. WE BELIEVE SOVIETS WOULD IN THIS CASE BE VIRTUALLY CERTAIN TO PRESS FOR DISBANDMENT OF US FORCES WITHDRAWN FROM AREA. THEY WOULD PROBABLY NOT ACCEPT ARGUMENT THAT US FORCES REMAINING INTACT WOULD NOT CONSTITUTE THREAT TO WARSAW PACT BECAUSE OF THEIR STATIONING IN CONTINENTAL US. MOREOVER, WE FIND IT DIFFICULT TO ENVISAGE SOVIET WILLINGNESS TO ACCEPT LIMITATIONS ON THEIR RIGHT TO STATION SOVIET FORCES WHERE THEY WISH IN THE USSR UNLESS WE AGREE TO RECIPROCAL CONCESSIONS INVOLVING US TERRITORY SO FAR-REACHING THAT THEY COULD INJURE WESTERN SECURITY. IF SOVIETS INSIST THAT WITHDRAWN FORCES CANNOT UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES BE REDEPLOYED TO NATO, OUR ABILITY TO CARRY OUT OUR NATO COMMITMENTS WOULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY DIMINISHED.
- 5. FOR THESE REASONS. WE BELIEVE THAT THE PRINCIPLES STATED IN ARTICLE 17 AND 22 OF THE AGREED ALLIANCE APPROACH AND REFERRED TO IN TURKISH PAPER PROVIDE BEST MEANS OF MEETING TURKISH CONCERNS. HOW TO GIVE PRAC-TICAL EXPRESSION TO THESE PRINCIPLES, AND TO MAKE THESE PRINCIPLES CLEAR TO THE OTHER SIDE REMAINS TO BE DETER-MINED WITHIN ALLIANCE. WE URGE THAT NATO GIVE PRIORITY CONSIDERATION TO THIS ISSUE. AS A BEGINNING, WE SUGGEST THAT THE TURKISH REP AT HE MBFR TALKS BE PREPARED TO TAKE THE FLOOR AT AN APPROPRIATE PLENARY SESSION TO EX-PLAIN ALLIED CONCERNS ABOUT THE POSSIBLE INCREASED THREAT TO THE FLANKS FROM REDEPLOYMENT OF WITHDRA N FORCES.(WE WOULD, OF COURSE, ASSUME TURKS WOULD NOT ADVANCE A SPE-CIFIC PROPOSAL.) THIS THEME COULD BE TAKEN UP AT APPRO-SECRET SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 017634

PRIATE TIMES BY OTHER DELEGATIONS.

- 6. IN THE MEANTIME, ALLIES COULD CONSIDER VARIOUS FOR-MULATIONS DESIGNED TO MEET THE TURKISH CONCERN FOR DISCUSSION AT NATO, FOR EXAMPLE, AN AGREEMENT PROVISION SPECIFYING THAT THE REDUCTIONS SHOULD NOT RESULT IN A DIMINUTION OF THE SECURITY OF ANY OTHER STATE.
- 7. FYI: WE ARE AWARE THAT TURKS ARE UNLIKELY TO BE COM-PLETELY SATISFIED WITH THE ABOVE RESPONSE. HOWEVER,

THEIR PROPOSALS AS WELL AS MANY OTHER CONCEIVABLE FOR-MULAS DESIGNED TO ALLAY THEIR CONCERNS COULD HAVE BOOMERANG EFFECT OF RESTRICTING US FREEDOM OF ACTION OR AT LEAST PROVIDING THE SOVIETS WITH BASIS FOR OPPOSING US DEPLOYMENTS TO NATO AREAS OUTSIDE THE NGA. NEVERTHELESS, WE WOULD HOPE TO MEET THEIR CONCERNS INSOFAR AS THIS IS PRACTICAL. WE BELIEVE THAT DIALOGUE WITH TURKS ON THIS ISSUE SHOULD BE KEPT IN BRUSSELS WHERE NATO CONSIDERATIONS AND SUPPORT FROM KEY NATO ALLIES CAN BE HELPFUL IN MOLLIFYING TURKS. END FYI. RUSH UNQUOTE KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 01 FEB 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE017634

Document Number: 1974STATE017634
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: ACDA/IR:PSEMLER

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: RR Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a

From: SECSTATE WASHDC

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740260/aaaacebh.tel Line Count: 125 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ORIGIN ACDA

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A.NATO 216, B.NATO 242, C.VIENNA 640, D.NATO 3911
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 19 MAR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19 MAR 2002 by garlanwa>; APPROVED <30 APR 2002 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: TURKISH PAPER ON FLANK MEASURES

TAGS: PARM, NATO

To: OSLO COPENHAGEN ATHENS ROME

Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005